Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits for acrolein

SCOEL/SUM/32 September 2007



Table of Contents

1 C	Occurrence/use	. 4
	lealth Significance	
	Toxicokinetics	
2.2	Acute toxicity: Irritation	. 4
	Repeated dose toxicity	
	Mutagenicity	
	Carcinogenicity	
	Reproduction toxicity	
	Effects of mixed aldehyde exposure	
	ecommendation	
	eferences	

Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits for acrolein

8 hour TWA: 0.02 ppm (0.05 mg/m3)

STEL (15 mins): 0.05 ppm (0.12 mg/m3)

Notation: -

Substance:

Structural formula CH₂=CH-CHO

Molecular formula C₃H₄O

Synonyms Acrylic aldehyde; allyl aldehyde; acraldehyde; 2-propenal

EINECS N° 203-453-4

EEC N° 605-008-00-3

Classification: F; R 11 T +; R26 T; R25

CAS Nº 107-02-8

MWt 56.06

Conversion factor (20°C, 101 kPa) 2.33 mg/m3 = 1 ppm

1 Occurrence/use

Acrolein is a colourless liquid with an acrid odour. It has a MPt of -87.7°C, a BPt of 52.7°C and a vapour pressure of 28.7 kPa at 20°C. It has a vapour density of 1.9 times that of air and is explosive in the range 2.8 - 31 % in air. The odour threshold is about 0.2 to 0.4 ppm (0.47 to 0.93 mg/m3).

Acrolein is used in the synthesis of other chemicals. such as acrylic acid derivatives, glycerol, methionine, glutaric aldehyde and a number of chemicals used in the surface treatment of textiles and paper. It occurs after combustion of organic materials such as plastics, glycerol-containing compounds, fats and cooking oils, wood and vegetation, gasoline and diesel. Acrolein is also present in cigarettes smoke. Acrolein is formed by reaction and photodecomposition of airborne pollutants, together with other aldehydes as formaldehyde.

The production rate in the EU is in excess of 20,000 tonnes per annum.

2 Health Significance

2.1 Toxicokinetics

Acrolein is well-absorbed by inhalation (Egle, 1972). Percutaneous absorption and skin irritation was demonstrated in rabbits but has not been investigated in humans. Acrolein reacts quickly at the site of contact with protein and non-protein sulfhydryl groups, especially with glutathione (Cassee et al. 1996). The predominant pathway for the metabolism is conjugation with glutathione and conversion to N-acetylcysteine compounds (IARC 1995). Acrolein is both a product and an initiator of lipid peroxidation (Kehrer .et al. 2000) and a metabolite of the chemotherapy drug cyclophosphamide (Hales, 1982).

There are no specific human data on toxicokinetics available.

2.2 Acute toxicity: Irritation

With continuous acrolein exposure (24 h/day), changes in body weight gain, serum biochemistry and

bronchial histopathology have been reported. Similarly, Cassee et al., (1996) reported higher labelling indices and histopathological changes in the nasal respiratory epithelium in rats exposed to 0.25 or 0.67 ppm (0.58 or 1.56 mg/m3) acrolein, 6 h/d for 3 days (LOAEL 0.57 mg/m³). The RD $_{50}$ for acrolein, causing a 50 % reduction in respiratory rate in mice amounted to 2.4- 6.6 mg/m³ (ICPS 1992).

There is no clear indication for a sensitizing effect of acrolein in animals or in humans.

The critical effect of acrolein in humans is irritation of the eye and the respiratory tract. In healthy volunteers, exposure to 0.09 ppm (0.20 mg/m3) for 5 min is reported to cause slight irritation in the eyes, with 0.15 ppm (0.35 mg/m3) irritating the nose (Weber-Tschopp et al. 1977). In volunteers exposed to acrolein during 5 min the eye irritation score amounted to 0.471 (on a 0 to 2 scale) at 0.06 ppm (0.14 mg/m³), 1.2 at 1.3 - 1.6 ppm and 1.5 at 2.0-2.3 ppm (Darley et al. 1960). The odour threshold was defined (Leonardos, 1969).at 0.21 ppm (0.48 mg/m³).

2.3 Repeated dose toxicity

A NOAEL of 0.06 ppm (0.15 mg/m3) was identified for the rat following 61 days continuous inhalation exposure (Gusev et al., 1966). Repeated exposure in rats has shown to result in impaired weight gain from 1.4 ppm (3.3 mg/m3) 6 h/d, 5 d/w for 13 weeks (Feron et al, 1978), with a NOAEL of 0.9 ppm (1.6 mg/m3). In a 6 weeks study in rats, guinea pigs, monkeys and dogs, exposed to 0.7 and 3.7 ppm lung effects were seen and the NOAEL is concluded to be < 1.6 mg/m³ (Lyon et al. 1970). Minor histological changes in the bronchial mucosa were seen in Dahl rats exposed to 0.4 ppm (0.9 mg/m³) acrolein for 6 h/d, 5 d/w for 12 to 13 weeks (Kutzman et al. 1984). Roemer et al (1993) examined the proliferative response in nasal, tracheal epithelial and free lung cells of rats exposed to 0, 0.2 or 0.6 ppm (0, 0.47 or 1.40 mg/m³) acrolein for 6 h/d on one or three successive days. After a single exposure, there was an increase in proliferation in all three cell types (visualised by 5-bromodeoxyuridine labelling) following exposure to 0.6 ppm (1.40 mg/m³) acrolein, and in the trachea and lung at 0.2 ppm (0.47 mg/m³). The response was less marked after three repeated exposures. For long-term oral exposure studies (Parent et al. 1991,1992) found a NOAEL of 0.05 mg/kg bw) for rats and dogs and 2 mg/kg bw in mice.

2.4 Mutagenicity

Acrolein is a highly reactive substance and has been shown to give positive results in a number of *in vitro* genotoxicity assays. *In vivo* tests have given mostly negative results (IARC, 1995).

In the later EU-RAR final report (2001) acrolein is considered as a mutagen for bacteria and can induce gene mutations and sister chromatid exchanges, but no chromosomal aberrations in mammalian cells in vitro. These effect are restricted to a narrow dose range due to the high toxicity of acrolein in this test systems. Most of the in vivo tests are negative.

2.5 Carcinogenicity

Acrolein has been tested for carcinogenicity in rodents by administration in drinking water (Lijinsky and Reuber, 1987), inhalation (Feron and Kruysse, 1977), skin painting (Salaman and Roe, 1956), subcutaneous injection (Steiner el al., 1943) and, most recently, by gavage (Parent el al., 1992a). All studies gave negative results apart from that one using acrolein in drinking water, which gave a marginal increase in the incidence of adrenal cortical tumours in female rats at the highest dose. Shortcomings have been noted (Parent el al., 1992a) on certain experimental aspects of this study which preclude interpretation of the findings.

There are no human data on cancerogenicity.

A recent IARC evaluation (IARC, 1995) concluded that there is inadequate evidence for the carcinogenicity of acrolein in experimental animals or in humans.

2.6 Reproduction toxicity

There was no evidence of teratogenicity in rats exposed to 0.55 ppm (1.3 mg/m3) acrolein (Bouley et al. 1976). A two generation gavage study of acrolein in rats provided no evidence of specific effects on reproduction (Parent et al., 1992b).

2.7 Effects of mixed aldehyde exposure

Exposure to mixtures of aldehydes are frequent both in the occupational situation as in the general environment. Apart from acrolein are involved formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and /or crotonaldehyde.

From studies in vitro and short-term inhalation studies on the irritation and nasal cytotoxicity, there seem not to be a greater hazard from the combined exposure to aldehydes in the same target organ and exerting the same type of effect (nasal irritation) then that associated with exposure to the individual chemicals (Cassee et al. 1996a). A competitive effect between the aldeydes for the same receptor was supposed. Other experiments have shown a competitive agonism between formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and acrolein in the decrease in breathing frequency in male rats (Kane et.al. 1978)

3 Recommendation

The main health effect of exposure to acrolein is irritation of the eyes, the mucosae and the skin, both in animals and in humans. The study of Roemer et al (1993), establishing a LOAEL of 0.2 ppm (0.47 mg/m3) for damage to the bronchial mucosa of rats was considered to be the best available basis for proposing an 8-hour TWA. An uncertainty factor of 10 was considered appropriate to allow for the absence of a NOAEL and of human data on prolonged exposure. The recommended 8-hour TWA is 0.02 ppm (0.05 mg/m3).

A STEL (15 min) of 0.05 ppm (0.12 mg/m3) is proposed to limit peaks of exposure which could result in irritation. This value is in line with the EU RAR conclusion (2001) and is based upon the human volunteer study of Weber-Tschopp et al (1977), indicating a LOAEL of 0.09 ppm (0.20 mg/m3) and the short-time exposure to acrolein vapours in volunteers of over 5 minutes for eye irritation (NOAEL of 0.06 ppm, 0.14 mg/m³) (Darley et al. 1960) . No "skin" notation was considered to be necessary.

At the levels recommended measurement difficulties are not foreseen with established methods (e.g. NIOSH 2501, UK MDHS 70) although further validation at lower concentrations may be required.

European Commission

4 References

Bouley, G., Dubreuil, A., Godin, J., Boisset, M. and Boudene, C.L. (1976).

Phenomena of adaptation in rats continuously exposed to low concentrations of acrolein. Ann. Occup. Hyg.I.2 27-32.

Cassee, F. R., Groten, J. P. and Feron, V. J. (1996).

Changes in the nasal epithelium of rats exposed by inhalation to mixtures of formaldehyde acetaldehyde and acrolein. Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 29 (1996)

Costa D.L., Kutzman R.S., Lehmann J.R., Drew R.T. Altered lung function and structure in the rat after subchronic exposure to acrolein. (1986) Am.Rev.Respir. Dis. 133, 1986, 286-91

Darley E.F., Middleton J.T., Garber M.J. (1960)

Plant damage and eye irritation from ozone-hydrocarbon reactions.

J. Agric. Food Chem. 8, 1960, 483-485

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) 1998

Acrolein. Occupational Toxicants, Critical data Evaluation for MAK Values and Classification of carcinogens. Volume 16, H. Greim ed. 1998

Egle, J.L. (1972). Retention of inhaled formaldehyde, propionaldehyde and acrolein in the dog. Arch. Environ. Health \sim 119-124.

EU-RAR Acrolein. Final Report 2001

Feron, V. J. and Kruysse, A. (1977).

Effects of exposure to acrolein vapor in hamsters simultaneously treated with benzo[a]pyrene or diethylnitrosarnine. J. Toxicol. Environ. Heath 1, 379-394.

Feron, V.J., Kruysse, A., Til, H.P. and Immel, H.R. (1978).

Repeated exposure to acrolein vapour: Subacute studies in hamsters, rats and rabbits. Toxicol.2, 47-57.

Gusev, M. I., Svechnikova, A. I., Dronov, I. S., Grebenskova, M. D. and Golovina, A. I. (1966). On substantiation of the daily average maximum permissible concentration of acrolein in the atmosphere (Russian). Gig. Sanit.31, 9-13.

Hales B.F. 1982

Comparison of the mutagenicity and teratogenicity of cyclophosphamide and its active metabolites, 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide, phosphoramide mustard and acrolein Cancer Res. 42, 1982, 3016-21

HSDB Acrolein 2005

http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/download.txt. Updated 23.08.2005

IARC (1995).

IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans Vol 63, Dry Cleaning, Some Chlorinateed Solvents and Other Industrial Chemicals, IARC, Lyon, France

International Program on chemical Safety (ICPS) World health organization 1992 International chemical safety card on acrolein

Kane, L.E.; Alarie. Evaluation of sensory irritation from acrolein-formaldehyde mixtures. Am.Ind.Hyg.Assoc.J. 1978, 39, 270-04

Kehrer J.P., Biswal S.S. (2000) The molecular effects of acrolein Toxicological Sciences 57, 2000, 6-15

Kutzman, R.S., Wehner, R.W. and Harber, S.B. (1984). Selected responses to hypertension-sensitive and resistant rats to inhaled acrolein. Toxicol..31, 1984 53-65.

Leonardos G. Kendall D., Barnard N. (1969) Odor threshold determinations of 53 odorant chemicals. J.Air Pollution.Control Association 19, 1969, 91-95

Lijinsky, W. L. and Reuber, M. D. (1987). Chronic carcinogenesis studies of acrolein and related compounds. Toxicol. Ind. Health;., 337-345.

Linhart I., Frantik E., Vodickova L., Smejkal J., Mitera J.(1996) Biotransformation of acrolein in rat: excretion of mercapturic acids after inhalation and intraperitoneal injection.

Toxicolog.Appl. Pharmacol.136, 1996, 155-60

Lyon, J.P., Jenkins, L.J., Jones, R.A., Coon, R.A. and Siegel, J. (1970). Repeated and continuous exposure of laboratory animals to acrolein. Toxicol. Apppl. Pharmacol.11, 726-732.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1995)

13-week gavage toxicity studiess of allyl acetate, allyl alcohol and acrolein in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice.

Nielsen, G.D. and Petersen, S.H. (1991). Nordic Expert Group document no 100: Acrolein, Arbete & Halsa, 1991:45.
National Institute of Occupational Health, Stockholm, 1991.

Nielsen G.D., Bakbo J.C., Holst E. Sensory Irritation and Pulmonary Irritation by airborn Allyl Acetate, Allyl Alcohol, Allyl Ether compared to Acrolein Acta pharmcol. Et toxicol. 1984, 54, 292-298

Parent R.A., Caravello H.E., Harbell J.W. (1991) Gene mutation assay of acrolein in the CHO/HGPRT test system. J.Appl.Toxicol 11, 1991, 131-9

Parent, R. A., Caravello, H. E. and Long, J. E. (1992a). Two-year toxicity and carcinogenicity study of acrolein in rats. J. Appl. Toxicol.ll, 131-139.

Parent, R. A., Caravello, H. E. and Hoberman, A. M. (1992b). Reproductive study of acrolein on two generations of rats. Fund. Appl. Toxicol.12, 228-237. Roemer, E. Anton, H. J. and Kindt, R. (1993).

Cell proliferation in the respiratory tract of the rat after acute inhalation of formaldehyde or acrolein.

J. Appl. Toxicol.II, 103-107.

Salaman, M. H. and Roe, F. J. C. (1956).

Further tests for tumor-initiating activity. N,N-di-(2-chloroethyl)- p-aminophenyl butyric acid (CB 1348) as an initiator of skin tumor formation in the mouse. Br. J. Cancer IQ. 363-378.

Steiner, P. E., Steele, R. and Koch, F. C. (1943).

The possible carcinogenicity of overcooked meats, heated cholesterol, acrolein and heated sesame oil. Cancer Res. J., 100-107.

US EPA (2003)

Toxicological review of acrolein in support of summary information over Integrated Risk Information system (IRIS). National Center for Environmental Assessment, Washington DC http://www.epa.gov/iris

Weber-Tschopp, A., Fischer, T., Gierer, R. and Grandjean, E. (1977). Experimentelle Reizwirkungen von Akrolein auf den Menschen. Int. Arch. Occup. Envir. Health.4.Q, 117-130.

World Health Organisation Geneva 1992 Environmental Health Criteria 127: Acrolein

WHO. Acrolein; Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 43 WHO, Geneva, 2002